

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,570	10/08/2004	Alessandro Morelli	6391/PCT 9019	
6858	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
	& BREINER, L.L.C.	TAWFIK, SAMEH		
P.O. BOX 1 ALEXAND	9290 RIA, VA 22320-0290		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/510,570	MORELLI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
Period for Reply	, 10 0== =0 =VDID= = NONE! V	0) 00 7 407 (00) 0 4) (0				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ap	oril 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10082004.	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, species I (1-25) in the reply filed on 04/24/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banks (U.S. Patent No. 3,229,974) in view of DuFresne (U.S. Patent No. 4,521,209).

Banks discloses a folding machine to fold a web material along transverse folding lines comprising at least one folding roller (Figs. 1 and 2; via folding rollers 27 and 28) provided with at least one gripping member (via 34 and 37) to mechanically grasp the web material along a folding line (Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/510,570

Art Unit: 3721

Banks does not disclose that a gaseous flow member associated with the gripping member. However, BuFresne discloses a similar folding machine comprising a gaseous flow member (Fig. 1; via vacuum ports 36, and 34) to fold the web.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Banks's folding machine by having a gaseous flow member, as suggested by DuFresne, in order to grasp strongly and genteelly to the web while been folded.

Regarding claim 2: DuFresne discloses that the gaseous flow member is a suction member.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Banks's folding machine by having a gaseous flow member being a suction member, as suggested by DuFresne, in order to grasp strongly and genteelly to the web while been folded.

Regarding claim 3: Banks discloses two counter rotating folding rollers (via 27 and 28) with parallel axes, each of the counter rotating rollers being provided with at least one gripping member (via 34 and 37).

Regarding claims 4 and 19: DuFresne discloses that the suction member is associated with a device to activate and deactivate suction as a function of an angular position of a respective folding roller (column 3, lines 5-9 and Fig. 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Banks's folding machine by having a gaseous flow member with suction member being associated with a device to activate and deactivate suction as

Application/Control Number: 10/510,570

Art Unit: 3721

a function of an angular position of a respective folding roller, as suggested by DuFresne, in order to grasp strongly and genteelly to the web while been folded.

Regarding claims 5 and 6: Banks discloses that the at least one gripping member comprises a movable element (via 34 and 37) cooperating with a first stop (Fig. 2; via surface 42) and second stop (via surface 33); the first stop and second stop defining a slit essentially parallel to an axis of rotation of a respective folding roller of the at least one folding roller (Figs. 1 and 2), the movable element (34) extending in the slit.

Regarding claim 7: Banks discloses that each of the at least one folding roller comprises at least one cavity substantially parallel to an axis of rotation and opens on a cylindrical surface of the folding roller inside which a respective gripping member is housed (via gripping member 34 inside cavity on the folding roller).

Regarding claims 9 and 10: Banks discloses that a first block defining the first stop is fixed in the cavity (via portion of surface 42, could be consider as block) and a second block defining the second stop (via 33).

Regarding claim 11: Blanks nor DuFresne disclose that the first block delimits a suction compartment in connection with the suction duct. However, as Dufresne discloses the use of suction duct and holes to fold a web. An exact location of such suction on the block or other place, is just a matter of engineering design choice.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Blanks folding machine, by having suction means located on Blank's block, as suggested by DuFresne, in order to grasp strongly and genteelly to the web while been folded.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,570

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 12: Blanks discloses that the movable element (34) is supported by a shaft (via shaft 41) oscillating around its longitudinal axis, supported in the cavity and wherein the firs block (via 42) has a sealing surface cooperating with the oscillating shaft (41), see for example (Figs. 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 13: Blanks discloses that each of the at least one gripping member include an elastic strip (via rubber jaw 34).

Regarding claim 14: Blanks discloses that the elastic strip is integral with the oscillating shaft and cooperates with the first stop, see for example (Figs. 1 and 2).

Regarding claims 15-18, 20, and 21: Blanks discloses that each of the counter rotating folding rollers is associated with a sliding block disposed on a front surface of the respective folding roller (via body of the gripper 34 and block 47); the sliding block (47) is disposed in a specific angular position adjustable with respect to the respective folding roller (via spring 50 and shaft 41).

Regarding claim 22: Blanks discloses that a cutting unit (via cut rollers 2 and 3) to cut the web material.

Regarding claim 23: Blanks discloses that the cut unit has two counter rotating cylinders (via 2 and 3) with axes parallel to each other and to the folding roller (Fig. 1); which define between them a nip through which the web material is fed and provided with blades and counter blades to cut the web material (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 24: Blanks discloses that at least one folding roller (27) cooperates with a counter roller (28) on which a projection (via 38) is provided extending parallel to the axis of the rollers (Figs. 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claim 25: Blanks discloses that the corresponding projection (38) is provided on each of the at least one folding roller, each projection of one of the at least one folding roller cooperating with a gripping member of the opposite folding roller, see for example (Fig. 1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sameh H. Tawfik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721

ST.